IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 5TH DECEMBER 2006 / 14TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 29698 of 2006(I)

PETITIONER:

THANDAKUTTY, CHERAN HOUSE,
MANGAD, PAZHANJI.

BY ADV. SMT.I.SHEELA DEVI

RESPONDENTS:

1.SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE,
THRISSUR.

2.PORKKULAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE
PORKKULAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH, PORKKULAM P.O.,
THRISSUR.

3.PRESIDENT, OFFICE OF THE PORKKULAM
GRAMA PANCHAYATH, PORKKULAM P.O.,
THRISSUR.

R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER MR.MATHEW G.VADAKKEL
R2-R3 BY ADV. SRI.ANTONY DOMINIC
SRI.A.M.SHAFFIQUE
SRI.E.K.NANDAKUMAR
SRI.A.K.JAYASANKAR NAMBIAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

W.P.(C)No.29698 of 2006

- -

Dated: 5th December, 2006

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved by Ext.P1 notice issued to her by the 2nd respondent-Panchayat. Responding to Ext.P1 the petitioner submitted Ext.P2 objections. In spite of Ext.P2 objections, the Panchayat proceeded further and thereupon the petitioner submitted Ext.P3 before the 1st respondent. The Government Pleader is yet to get instructions from the 1st respondent. Learned counsel for the Panchayat submitted that the Panchayat will be prepared to hear the petitioner and take a decision on Ext.P2. Under these circumstances, this Writ Petition will stand disposed of in the following terms:

The 2nd respondent-Panchayat will hear the petitioner on Ext.P2 objections submitted by her to Ext.P1 notice and take a decision at the earliest and at any rate within one month of receiving a copy of this judgment. Till such time as a decision is taken on Ext.P2 as directed above, no coercive steps will be taken for implementing Ext.P1. Once decision is taken, the petitioner shall be informed of the same.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**